

JUN. 1. 2005 4:04PM AVENTIS US PAT DEPT

NO. 5755 P. 47

## Exhibit D



National  
Library  
of Medicine

My NC  
[Sign In] [Regis]

Entrez PubMed Nucleotide Protein Genome Structure OMIM PMC Journals Book  
Search PubMed for

Limits Preview/Index History Clipboard Details

About Entrez

Abstract

Show: 20

Sort

Text

All: 1

Entrez PubMed  
Overview  
Help | FAQ  
Tutorial  
New/Noteworthy  
E-Utilities

PubMed Services  
Journals Database  
MeSH Database  
Single Citation Matcher  
Batch Citation Matcher  
Clinical Queries  
LinkOut  
My NCBI (Cubby)

Related Resources  
Order Documents  
NLM Catalog  
NLM Gateway  
TOXNET  
Consumer Health  
Clinical Alerts  
ClinicalTrials.gov  
PubMed Central

□ 1: Rev Neurol. 1996 Dec;24(136):1507-12.

Related Articles, Li

**[Investigation of corticospinal dysfunction in multiple sclerosis and motoneuron diseases using motor evoked potentials]**

[Article in Spanish]

Gomez-Fernandez L, Macias-Gonzalez RJ, Mustelier R, Estrada R, Gamez-Morales L, Paz-Sendin L.

Laboratorio de Neurofisiologia Clinica, Centro Internacional de Restauracion Neurologica, Ciudad de la Habana, Cuba.

**INTRODUCTION:** The introduction of motor evoked potentials (MEP) into neurological practice has been very useful in the study of motor disorders, especially in patients with motor neurone diseases (MND) and multiple sclerosis (MS). **MATERIAL AND METHODS:** Forty patients with MS, twenty with MND and sixty-four apparently normal control were studied, to evaluate the use of MEP in such patients. Bilateral recordings were made from the abductor pollicis brevis and tibialis anterior. The variables studied were: cortical latency, radicular latency, central conduction time, motor excitation threshold coefficients of amplitude, duration and number of phases. **RESULTS:** There were significant differences in most variables when the control group and the patients were compared, and also between the two subgroups of patients (Wilks' lambda = 0.4197; p < 0.05). CA analysis significantly increased the sensitivity of the study (90.4%) with respect to analysis of latencies and central conduction times (70.4%). When analysis of the coefficients of duration and of phases was included, specificity increased by approximately 30% in both groups of patients. **CONCLUSIONS:** The great sensitivity of MEP as a neurophysiological diagnostic tool in investigation of corticospinal bundle lesions was demonstrated.

PMID: 9064163 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

Abstract

Show: 20

Sort

Text

JUN. 1. 2005 4:05PM AVENTIS US PAT DEPT

NO. 5755 P. 49

Write to the Help Desk

NCBI | NLM | NIH

Department of Health & Human Services

Privacy Statement | Freedom of Information Act | Disclaimer

Feb 7 2005 09:57:23